

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 MICHAEL OROZCO, on behalf of himself and all  
12 others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.  
15

16 NETFLIX, INC., WAL-MART STORES, INC., and  
17 WALMART.COM USA LLC,

18 Defendants.  
19

Case No. 09-cv-0297 MEJ

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
CONSIDER WHETHER CASES  
SHOULD BE RELATED**

1 On January 26, 2009, Plaintiff in *Orozco v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 09-cv-0297 MEJ,  
2 filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related, pursuant to Local Rule  
3 3-12. The Court, having considered the papers and pleadings on file, and good cause appearing,  
4 HEREBY GRANTS the motion.

5 IT IS ORDERED that *Orozco v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 09-cv-0297 MEJ, is hereby  
6 related to *Resnick, et al. v. Walmart.com USA LLC*, Case No. 09-cv-0002 PJH.

7 *Orozco v. Netflix, Inc., et al.* shall be reassigned to the undersigned judge pursuant to local  
8 Rule 3-12(f).

9  
10 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

11 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
12 The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton  
13 United States District Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28